Substrate Interactions with the Nitrogenase Active Site

PATRICIA C. DOS SANTOS,[‡]
ROBERT Y. IGARASHI,[§] HONG-IN LEE,[†]
BRIAN M. HOFFMAN,[⊥]
LANCE C. SEEFELDT,[§] AND DENNIS R. DEAN*,[‡]
Department of Biochemistry, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24060, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Utah State University, Logan, Utah 84322, Department of Chemistry Education, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 702-701, Korea, and Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208

Received September 20, 2004

ABSTRACT
The chemical mechanism for biological cleavage of the N_2 triple bond at ambient pressure and temperature has been the subject of intense study for many years. The site of substrate activation and reduction has been localized to a complex cofactor, called FeMo cofactor, yet until now the complexity of the system has denied information concerning exactly where and how substrates interact with the metal−sulfur framework of the active site. In this Account, we describe a combined genetic, biophysical, and biochemical approach that was used to provide direct and detailed information concerning where alternative alkyne substrates interact with FeMo cofactor during catalysis. The relevance and limitations of this work with respect to N_2 binding and reduction also are discussed.

Nitrogenase Catalyzes Biological Nitrogen Fixation

The agronomic and economic significance of nitrogen fixation, reduction of N_2 to yield $2NH_3$, can be appreciated through the perspective of an estimate that suggests that more than a third of today's human population would not exist without application of the synthetic Haber−Bosch process.[1] Biological nitrogen fixation, which utilizes energy in the form of MgATP, is catalyzed by a complex metalloenzyme called nitrogenase (see ref 2 for a recent review).



$$N_2 + 8e^- + 8H^+ + 16MgATP \Rightarrow 2NH_3 + H_2 + 16MgADP + 16Pi$$

Whether the biological process can be more effectively exploited for agronomic benefit remains an open question. Nevertheless, nitrogen fixation is necessary to sustain life on earth, and how organisms manage to activate and cleave N_2 at ambient temperature and pressure remains a fascinating and unsolved chemical problem. There are three different types of nitrogenases,[3] distinguished by the metal composition of their respective active site metalloclusters, but all of them share common structural and mechanistic features. The best studied of these is the Mo-dependent enzyme that contains an active-site organometallic cluster called FeMo cofactor.[4] All nitrogenases comprise two catalytic components, and in the case of the Mo-dependent enzyme, these are designated as the Fe protein and the MoFe protein. The Fe protein is a specific Fe_4S_4 cluster-containing nucleotide-dependent reductant of the MoFe protein, which contains the active site FeMo cofactor. Complex formation and electron transfer between the Fe protein and MoFe protein is controlled by MgATP binding and hydrolysis.[5] The interaction of the Fe protein and MoFe protein can be considered to involve two coupled cycles. During catalysis the Fe protein Fe_4S_4 cluster cycles between 1^+ (with $3Fe^{2+}$, $1Fe^{3+}$, and $4S^{2-}$) and 2^+ (with $2Fe^{2+}$, $2Fe^{3+}$, and $4S^{2-}$) states as single electrons are delivered to the MoFe protein, whereas the MoFe protein cycle involves the accumulation of multiple electrons necessary for substrate binding and reduction. Because multiple electrons are required for substrate reduction, multiple Fe protein cycles are required to complete a single MoFe protein cycle.

Nitrogenase also catalyzes the reduction of a variety of triply bonded substrates other than N_2, the most familiar being acetylene.[6]

$$C_2H_2 + 2e^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow C_2H_4$$

In its resting state the MoFe protein is not capable of reducing or even covalently binding any substrate. Rather, substrate interaction requires the prior activation of the MoFe protein by accumulation of electrons donated from the Fe protein.[7] In the absence of other substrates, the activated MoFe protein reduces protons to yield H_2, thereby continuously cycling the protein back to its resting state. These properties, and the fact that accumulation of a different number of electrons is required to make the

Patricia C. Dos Santos received a B.S. degree in Pharmacy from the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul and is currently a graduate student in the Department of Biochemistry at Virginia Tech.

Robert Y. Igarashi received B.S. and M.S. degrees from Cal State Fullerton and is currently a graduate student in the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry at Utah State University.

Hong-In Lee received B.S. and M.S. degrees from Seoul National University. After completing military duty, he received a Ph.D. from Michigan State University. He was a postdoctoral fellow at Northwestern University and a research fellow at NHLBI, NIH. He is now an assistant professor in the Department of Chemistry Education at Kyungpook National University.

Brian M. Hoffman was an undergraduate at the University of Chicago, received his Ph.D. from Caltech, and spent a postdoctoral year at MIT before going to Northwestern University, where he is a Professor in the Departments of Chemistry and Biochemistry Molecular Biology & Cell Biology.

Lance C. Seefeldt received a B.S. degree from the University of Redlands and a Ph.D. from the University of California at Riverside. He was a postdoctoral fellow in the Center for Metalloenzyme Studies at the University of Georgia and is now professor of Chemistry and Biochemistry at Utah State University.

Dennis R. Dean received a B.A. from Wabash College and a Ph.D. from Purdue University. He was a postdoctoral fellow in the Enzyme Institute at the University of Wisconsin, Madison, and is now Professor of Biochemistry at Virginia Tech.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: deandr@vt.edu.
‡ Virginia Tech.
§ Utah State University.
† Kyungpook National University.
⊥ Northwestern University.

10.1021/ar040050z CCC: $30.25 © 2005 American Chemical Society
Published on Web 02/02/2005



FIGURE 1. Two stereoviews of FeMo-cofactor and selected residues that provide the first shell of noncovalent interactions, a side-on stereoview (A) and a Mo end-on stereoview (with homocitrate removed) (B). FeMo cofactor is a [7Fe-8S-Mo-X-homocitrate] cluster, where X is an atom of unknown identity but is believed to be a nitride. The figure was generated from PDB file 1M1N using the program PyMOL. The color scheme is Fe in green, S in yellow, C in gray, N in blue, O in red, and Mo in magenta.

MoFe protein competent to bind different substrates, have enormously complicated analysis of nitrogenase catalysis. Perhaps the most important feature that has frustrated study of the chemical mechanism is an inability to capture a homogeneous form of the enzyme having substrate bound at high occupancy. Consequently there has been no direct information concerning where and how substrates interact with the active site. This Account describes a combination of genetic, biochemical, and biophysical strategies that were used to overcome this problem.

A Genetic Approach

The FeMo cofactor metal−sulfur core is constructed from Fe_4S_3 and Fe_4S_3Mo subclusters (Figure 1) joined by a shared central ligand, suspected to be a nitride, and linked by three bridging S^{2-}.[8,9] An organic constituent, homocitrate, is attached to the Mo atom through its 2-hydroxyl and 2-carboxy groups, and the cofactor is covalently anchored to the MoFe protein through a N ligand provided by a histidine imidazole to the Mo atom and a cysteine thiolate ligand to an Fe atom at the opposite end. This unusual structure has invited considerable theoretical speculation concerning the identity of the substrate-binding site and description of possible mechanisms for substrate activation and also has inspired a variety of model chemistries as approaches to understand biological N_2 activation.[10]

An initial approach to resolve the question of where substrates interact with the active site involved evaluation of the catalytic and biophysical consequences arising from substitution of the residues that provide the first shell of noncovalent interactions with FeMo cofactor.[11−13] It soon became apparent, however, that the complexity of the system denied unequivocal interpretation of most information gained in this way. For example, for most substitutions, it was not possible to assign catalytic defects as specifically arising from electron transfer, chemical reactivity of the cofactor, or substrate access to the cofactor.

Acetylene is a potent growth inhibitor for nitrogen fixing microorganisms because N_2 and acetylene compete for the available reducing equivalents during nitrogenase catalysis. We therefore decided to use a genetic strategy to circumvent the above problems by isolation of mutant strains resistant to the inhibitory effects of acetylene.[14,15] This approach was valuable for two important reasons. First, the method cannot be biased by an investigator's preference for the location of the substrate-binding site. Second, because N_2 reduction is thermodynamically more demanding and requires more electrons than acetylene reduction, a mutant strain impaired in acetylene reduction but not N_2 reduction cannot arise from defects in either

electron transfer or active site reactivity. Rather, this feature can only be assigned to an inability of acetylene to effectively access the active site.

MoFe protein purified from an acetylene-resistant strain exhibited a substantially lower affinity for acetylene binding/reduction, whereas N_2 reduction parameters remained unaltered. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the genes encoding the MoFe protein from this strain showed that the MoFe protein α-subunit Gly^{69} residue was substituted by serine, and inspection of the MoFe protein crystal structure revealed that α-Gly^{69} is located on a short helix that skirts one of three Fe−S "faces" that compose the central portion of FeMo cofactor (Figure 1). Although the basis for acetylene resistance could be interpreted in a variety of different ways, we proposed a model where this substitution alters local conformational flexibility so that the side-chain of the adjacent α-Val^{70} residue is locked into a conformation that impairs active site access by acetylene but does not affect access by the slightly smaller N_2 molecule. If this model is correct, we reasoned that substitution of α-Val^{70} by residues having smaller side chains would expand the size of substrates that can access the substrate reduction site. This possibility was easily tested because previous studies had established that short-chain alkynes other than acetylene are not effectively reduced by nitrogenase, presumably because they are denied access to the activation site due to the larger size.[16,17] Indeed, shortening the side-chain at the α-Val^{70} residue position, by substitution with either alanine or glycine, progressively expands the size of short-chain alkyne substrates, for example, propyne and 1-butyne, which can be accommodated at the nitrogenase active site.[18]

Biophysical Analysis of a Trapped Substrate Reduction Intermediate

The as-isolated form of the MoFe protein exhibits a characteristic $S = {}^3/_2$ electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrum that can be uniquely assigned to a semireduced state of FeMo cofactor. In this oxidation state of FeMo cofactor, termed the M^N state, the formal oxidation states of the metals are not settled, results from Mossbauer spectroscopy[19] indicating $1Mo^{4+}$, $3Fe^{3+}$, $4Fe^{2+}$, and $9S^{2-}$ and results from electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) spectroscopy studies[20] indicating $1Mo^{4+}$, $1Fe^{3+}$, $6Fe^{2+}$, and $9S^{2-}$. When the MoFe protein is freeze-trapped under turnover conditions, where electrons are being delivered from the Fe protein to the MoFe protein, a more reduced form of FeMo cofactor is accessed, which exists predominantly in an EPR-silent state (Figure 2). Attempts to freeze-quench the nitrogenase system in the presence of various substrates as a way to trap an EPR-active substrate/intermediate bound species has proven to be problematic. In particular, this approach has resulted in trapping bound species at only very low occupancy and often in multiple forms. For the inhibitor CO, two different CO bound states have been trapped at relatively high occupancy, one at lower CO concentrations (called lo-CO)



FIGURE 2. X-band EPR spectra of the MoFe protein. EPR spectra of the α-Ala^{70} MoFe protein are shown for the resting state under argon (trace 1), the turnover state under argon (trace 2), and the turnover state in the presence 3 mM propargyl-OH at pH 6.7 (trace 3). The *g*-values for select inflections are shown.

and one at higher CO concentrations (called hi-CO). Characterization of these states by various spectroscopic methods has resulted in models where one or two CO molecules are bound to Fe atoms.[20,21] Very low occupancy intermediates have also been trapped when nitrogenase is freeze-quenched during reduction of the substrates carbon disulfide and acetylene.[22,23] The low occupancy of these intermediates has limited the information that could be deduced, although it was concluded that both substrates are likely bound to one or more Fe atoms.

Expansion of the active site of the MoFe protein so that larger substrates can be accommodated permitted the use of substrates that contain functional groups as a new strategy to trap a substrate reduction intermediate in an EPR-active form and at concentrations amenable to spectroscopic analyses.[24] During the course of evaluating various functionalized substrates, we discovered that when either propargyl alcohol ($HC{\equiv}C{-}H_2OH$) or propargyl amine ($HC{\equiv}C{-}CH_2NH_2$) is used as a substrate for the substituted α-Ala^{70} MoFe protein, the resting state $S = {}^3/_2$ EPR spectrum is converted to an intense $S = {}^1/_2$ signal (Figure 2). In the context of earlier work identifying the $S = {}^1/_2$ intermediate formed during turnover in the presence of the inhibitor CO, the properties of the propargyl alcohol derived signal indicated that a single species was trapped in high occupancy.

These features provided an unprecedented opportunity to explore the properties of a bound nitrogenase substrate-reduction intermediate by EPR and ENDOR spectroscopies.



FIGURE 3. Quantitative stochastic field-modulated ENDOR spectra (^{1}H−SF) of the α-Ala[70] MoFe protein incubated with propargyl alcohol under turnover conditions. The deuteration patterns are indicated; spectra are centered at the ^{1}H frequency and split by the hyperfine coupling. The important observation from these spectra is that the intensity for the nondeuterated sample (green) is halved when either D_2O is used as solvent (blue) or the substrate is deuterated (red) and eliminated when deuterated substrate is used in D_2O (black). These results show that the bound intermediate contains two strongly coupled, magnetically identical protons. One of these protons must be from the substrate, whereas the other originates from the solvent. A third, weakly coupled proton is seen in the red and green spectra originating from the solvent. The structural interpretation of these data is shown in Figure 4.

By using uniformly ^{13}C-labeled propargyl alcohol and ^{13}C ENDOR spectroscopy, we could observe the coupling of three unique C atoms to FeMo cofactor.[24] This result confirmed that the substrate-derived intermediate was covalently bound to FeMo cofactor and further indicated an asymmetric spin coupling of the propargyl alcohol carbon atoms (C3 > C2 > C1) to FeMo cofactor. The nature of the bound intermediate was revealed by examination of the ENDOR parameters when either H- or D-labeled propargyl alcohol (PA-H; PA-D) was used as substrate with turnover occurring in either H_2O or D_2O.[25] Key was the combined use of a new quantitative ^{1}H ENDOR technique, stochastic field-modulated (SF) ENDOR, plus Mims-pulsed ^{2}H ENDOR, to study strongly coupled protons (H^a) observed in the PA-H/H_2O spectrum (hyperfine coupling of $A(^1H^a) \approx 20$ MHz). This signal appears with half intensity in the spectra of the PA-H/D_2O and PA-D/H_2O samples and is lost with the "doubly deuterated" PA-D/D_2O sample (Figure 3). These observations imply that the H^a doublet in the PA-H/H_2O spectrum is the superposition of doublets from two magnetically identical and hence symmetry-equivalent protons, one derived from propargyl alcohol (H_p) and the other acquired from solvent (H_s) during reduction. In addition, the experiments disclosed one weakly coupled proton (H^b) derived from solvent. Inspection of inorganic model compounds having similar compositions suggested two models that could explain the ENDOR observations, in particular, the two equivalent protons having different chemical origins (for a more complete discussion of the model compounds see ref 24). Both involve a three-membered ring that includes the propargyl alcohol C3 and C2 atoms and a single Fe atom. One of these is a one proton added, semireduced (ferracyclopropene) intermediate, and the other is a two proton added, further reduced (ferracylopropane) adduct of the allyl alcohol product. Between these we favor the latter (Figure 4), largely



FIGURE 4. Proposed structure of the trapped propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate. This structural model is based on the results of ENDOR parameters and consideration of model compounds. The figure indicates two magnetically identical protons (H^a) bound to C3, one derived from the solvent (H_s) and one derived from the substrate (H_p). The weakly coupled proton (H^b) derived from the solvent is assigned to that bound to C2. Asymmetric binding of the propargyl alcohol C3 and C2 atoms (C3 coupling > C2) as revealed by ^{13}C ENDOR is indicated by a longer Fe−C2 bond than the Fe−C3 bond.

because it gives a more "natural" explanation for the presence of the solvent-derived H^b (see ref 25 for a more complete discussion). Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on FeMo cofactor subsequently showed that the proposed model is an energetically favorable one.[26]

Elucidating the Location of the Bound Propargyl Alcohol Adduct

Genetics established the general location for binding of alkyne substrates, and advanced spectroscopic techniques provided insight on how the reduction intermediate is bound, but neither approach pinpointed which of the Fe atoms at the Fe 2, 3, 6, and 7 face is involved. To address this question, we sought to determine why a propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate becomes trapped at the active site. The important observation was that although a propargyl alcohol intermediate becomes trapped at the active site, a propyne reduction intermediate does not, even though both molecules are substrates. This suggested that the propargyl alcohol −OH group is likely to interact with a functional group provided by the protein to stabilize a reduction intermediate. Among the candidate amino acids that could provide this function, the α-His[195] residue was the most attractive because it is appropriately positioned within the identified binding region (Figure 1) and because previous work indicated a possible role for α-His[195] as an obligate proton donor during N_2 reduction. The possibility that α-His[195] stabilizes a propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate was tested and confirmed in two different ways.[27] First, it was shown that the appearance of the $S = ^1/_2$ EPR signal under freeze-quench conditions when propargyl alcohol is used as substrate disappears when the α-His[195] residue is substituted by glutamine, even though propargyl alcohol retains an ability to interact with the active site of the substituted MoFe protein. Second, appearance of the characteristic EPR signal is pH-dependent when either propargyl alcohol or propargyl amine is used as substrate. The respective pH-dependence profiles are different and show that optimum population of the trapped propargyl alcohol intermediate occurs when the α-His[195] imidazole is protonated (pH 6.7, Figure 5A), whereas optimum population of the propargyl amine intermediate occurs when the imidazole group is deprotonated and propargyl amine is protonated (pH 8.0, Figure 5B).



FIGURE 5. Proposed H-bonding of the trapped intermediates. The proposed H-bonding (dashed lines) is shown between the protonated α-His[195] and propargyl alcohol (A) and between the deprotonated α-His[195] and protonated propargyl amine (B).

The above results indicated formation of a hydrogen bond between the −OH group of a propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate and the imidazole of α-His[195] thereby localizing the position of the −OH group within ∼2 Å of the εN of α-His[195]. Furthermore the previously described ENDOR studies showed that the bound adduct is allyl alcohol, which is proposed to interact with a single Fe atom in an η^2 configuration. Within these constraints, the location of the bound intermediate is largely defined. To further refine the likely binding site, DFT computational methods were used to suggest a detailed bonding geometry of the cofactor−reduction intermediate adduct, which was then fit into the α-Ala[70] MoFe protein using force field methods to give the model shown in Figure 6.

Relevance of the Identified Alkyne Binding Site to N_2 Binding

The results of experiments described so far provide compelling evidence that alkyne substrates can bind and be reduced at a specific FeS face of FeMo cofactor and perhaps at a single Fe atom within that face. A remaining question is whether N_2 is also activated and reduced at this same face. This question is an important one because acetylene is a noncompetitive inhibitor of N_2 reduction whereas N_2 is a weak competitive inhibitor of acetylene reduction.[10] Also, there is abundant evidence that acetylene can bind to the MoFe protein with both high and low affinity.[28] Reasonable interpretations of these observations are either that there are multiple and separate binding sites or that a single site can be accessed at different redox states. Differentiation between these possibilities, which are not mutually exclusive, is experimentally challenging because the MoFe protein pool is populated by a variety of different redox states under turnover conditions.

Although not yet answered unequivocally, there are recent results that bear on the question of whether acetylene and N_2 share the same binding site. In experiments that have already been described, it was possible to expand the substrate reduction site by substitution of the α-Val[70] residue by amino acids having smaller side chains. We therefore reasoned that substitution of α-Val[70] by amino acids having larger side chains should compromise the reduction of all substrates that must access this face. To test this possibility, the α-Val[70] residue was substituted by isoleucine, and the impact on acetylene and N_2 reduction was evaluated.[29] The results of these experiments showed that the substituted α-Ile[70] MoFe protein is severely compromised for both acetylene reduction (>120-fold increase in K_m) and N_2 reduction (>15-fold increase in K_m) with no effect on proton reduction. The observations that proton reduction is not affected by the substitution and that acetylene and N_2 reduction can still be detected, although at very low levels, are consistent with an interpretation that neither electron delivery to the active site nor the ability of the enzyme to access the redox state required for substrate binding have been affected. Although an effect of the substitution on N_2 activation has not been ruled out, a logical interpretation of the results is that the α-Ile[70] substitution denies access of both N_2 and acetylene to the same or overlapping binding sites. Whatever the relationship between N_2 binding and acetylene binding, the effect of the α-Ile[70] substitution indicates that all acetylene reduction reactions must occur at the same face for several reasons. First, both acetylene and proton reduction involve the same redox states of the MoFe protein, both requiring two electrons. Second, proton reduction is unaffected by the α-Ile[70] substitution, which means that the capacity for the altered MoFe protein to access the redox states required for acetylene reduction is not affected by the substitution. Third, the ability of the substituted protein to catalyze acetylene reduction at substrate concentrations used to saturate the



FIGURE 6. Stereoview of the proposed structure for the trapped propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate bound to FeMo cofactor. The most favorable state of the propargyl alcohol reduction intermediate bound to FeMo cofactor in the α-Ala[70] MoFe protein is shown. The alkane unit of allyl alcohol is bound to Fe6 of the FeMo cofactor. A H-bond is shown (dashed line) between the hydroxyl O of allyl alcohol and the εN of α-His[195] in the α-Ala[70] MoFe protein. This model was deduced from experimental evidence for hydrogen bonding between the −OH group of the reduction intermediate and the α-His[195] side-chain imidazole group and a combination of density functional theory and molecular mechanics computational methods.

normal enzyme is virtually eliminated. Of course, the possibility that multiple substrate sites for acetylene binding exist within the same FeS face has not been ruled out.

A second line of experiments that indicates N_2 and acetylene share the same or overlapping binding sites involves analysis of the semireduced form of N_2, hydrazine, as a substrate.[29] It was previously shown that hydrazine is a very poor substrate for nitrogenase.[30] Further, acid quenching of nitrogenase under turnover conditions results in the release of small amounts of hydrazine when N_2 is used as substrate.[31] Hydrazine is also a minor reduction product when N_2 is used as a substrate for the vanadium-dependent nitrogenase.[32] In aggregate, these observations provide compelling evidence that a bound form of hydrazine is an intermediate during nitrogenase-catalyzed N_2 reduction. One possible explanation for the slow rate of hydrazine reduction when used as a substrate is that its relatively larger size, compared to N_2, denies facile access to the active site. If this explanation is correct and N_2 is reduced at the same FeMo cofactor face as acetylene reduction, then expansion of the active site by the α-Ala[70] substitution should increase the capacity for hydrazine reduction. This prediction was verified experimentally where it was shown that substitution of the α-Val[70] residue by alanine dramatically increases the capacity for hydrazine reduction (8-fold decrease in K_m).[29]

The Riddle of N_2 Activation Is Not Solved

Despite nearly 40 years of intense investigation, the chemical mechanism of biological nitrogen reduction has remained enigmatic. In this Account, we have described a comprehensive approach that has been used to gain evidence that nitrogenase substrates bind and are reduced at a specific FeS face of FeMo cofactor. Work described here cannot be considered to have eliminated Mo as the activation site for N_2 reduction, but none of our results are compatible with that model. Perhaps the most important contribution of the current work is that it has provided a framework for future theoretical models and proposed chemical mechanisms that can be tested experimentally.

From the experimental perspective, there are two immediate goals of our future research efforts. There is now strong evidence that binding of hydrazine, a likely intermediate during N_2 reduction, occurs at the same general site as that identified for propargyl alcohol. However, exactly where and how hydrazine is bound at the active site when used as a substrate is not known. To address this question, we are attempting to trap an EPR-active N_2 reduction intermediate in a highly populated state, using the same general approach described for detection and characterization of an alkyne reduction intermediate. Our second goal is related to the activation of N_2. Although nitrogenase is able to reduce a variety of substrates, N_2 reduction is differentiated from other substrates in the following ways: (i) a more reduced state of the MoFe protein is required for N_2 binding, (ii) N_2 binding/reduction is associated with the obligate evolution of H_2, (iii) H_2 is a competitive inhibitor of N_2 reduction, and (iv) in the presence of N_2 and D_2 nitrogenase catalyzes HD formation.[4] These observations suggest that the N_2 activation, but not activation of other substrates, could involve the obligate displacement of hydrides bound to FeMo cofactor. Validation of this model requires elucidation of whether hydrides are bound to FeMo cofactor in the activated state and, if so, determination of where they are bound. Again we believe that a combined genetic and biochemical approach as a way to trap a highly populated, EPR-active state so that advanced spectroscopies can be applied provides the best opportunity for success. These experiments are in progress.

The authors thank Dr. Jason Christiansen for his contributions to the development of this project, Ian Dance for discussions and insights about theoretical calculations, and M. Yurth for assistance with graphics. Work in our laboratories is supported by grants from the NIH (to B.M.H. and L.C.S.) and the NSF (to D.R.D. and B.M.H.).

References

(1) Smil, V. *Enriching the earth: Fritz Haber, Carl Bosch, and the transformation of world food production*, 1st ed.; MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, 2000.
(2) Christiansen, J.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. Mechanistic Features of the Mo-Containing Nitrogenase. *Annu. Rev. Plant. Physiol. Plant. Mol. Biol.* **2001**, *52*, 269−295.
(3) Eady, R. R. Structure−function-relationships of alternative nitrogenases. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 3013−3030.
(4) Burgess, B. K.; Lowe, D. J. Mechanism of molybdenum nitrogenase. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 2983−3011.
(5) Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. Nitrogenase: a nucleotide-dependent molecular switch. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **1994**, *63*, 235−264.
(6) Dilworth, M. J. Acetylene reduction by nitrogen fixing preparations from *Clostridium pasteurianum. Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1966**, *127*, 285−294.
(7) Lowe, D. J.; Thorneley, R. N. The mechanism of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* nitrogenase action. Pre-steady- state kinetics of H2 formation. *Biochem. J.* **1984**, *224*, 877−886.
(8) Einsle, O.; Tezcan, F. A.; Andrade, S. L.; Schmid, B.; Yoshida, M.; Howard, J. B.; Rees, D. C. Nitrogenase MoFe-protein at 1.16 Å resolution: a central ligand in the FeMo-cofactor. *Science* **2002**, *297*, 1696−1700.
(9) Dos Santos, P. C.; Dean, D. R.; Hu, Y.; Ribbe, M. W. Formation and insertion of the nitrogenase iron−molybdenum cofactor. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1159−1173.
(10) Seefeldt, L. C.; Dance, I. G.; Dean, D. R. Substrate interactions with nitrogenase: Fe versus Mo. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 1401−1409.
(11) Scott, D. J.; May, H. D.; Newton, W. E.; Brigle, K. E.; Dean, D. R. Role for the nitrogenase MoFe protein alpha-subunit in FeMo-cofactor binding and catalysis. *Nature* **1990**, *343*, 188−190.
(12) Kim, C. H.; Newton, W. E.; Dean, D. R. Role of the MoFe protein alpha-subunit histidine-195 residue in femo- cofactor binding and nitrogenase catalysis. *Biochemistry* **1995**, *34*, 2798−2808.
(13) Benton, P. M. C.; Mayer, S. M.; Shao, J.; Hoffman, B. M.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. Interaction of acetylene and cyanide with the resting state of nitrogenase alpha-96-Substituted MoFe proteins. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 13816−13825.
(14) Christiansen, J.; Cash, V. L.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Dean, D. R. Isolation and characterization of an acetylene-resistant nitrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 11459−11464.
(15) Christiansen, J.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Dean, D. R. Competitive substrate and inhibitor interactions at the physiologically relevant active site of nitrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, *275*, 36104−36107.
(16) Hardy, R. W. F.; Jackson, E. K. Reduction of model substrates-nitriles and acetylenes by nitrogenase (N_2ase). *Fed. Proc.* **1967**, *26*, 725.
(17) McKenna, C. E.; McKenna, M.-C.; Huang, C. W. Low stereoselectivity in methyl-acetylene and cyclopropene reductions by nitrogenase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1979**, *76*, 4773−4777.
(18) Mayer, S. M.; Niehaus, W. G.; Dean, D. R. Reduction of short chain alkynes by an alpha-70^{Ala} -substituted MoFe protein. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2002**, *5*, 802−807.

(19) Yoo, S. J.; Angove, H. C.; Papaefthymiou, V.; Burgess, B. K.; Munck, E. Mossbauer study of the MoFe protein of nitrogenase from *Azotobacter vinelandii* using selective Fe-57 enrichment of the M-centers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 4926–4936.
(20) Lee, H. I.; Hales, B. J.; Hoffman, B. M. Metal-ion valencies of the FeMo cofactor in CO-inhibited and resting state nitrogenase by Fe-57 Q-band ENDOR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 11395–11400.
(21) Lee, H. I.; Cameron, L. M.; Hales, B. J.; Hoffman, B. M. CO binding to the FeMo cofactor of CO-inhibited nitrogenase: (CO)-C-13 and H-1 Q-band ENDOR investigation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 10121–10126.
(22) Ryle, M. J.; Lee, H. I.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Hoffman, B. M. Nitrogenase reduction of carbon disulfide: freeze-quench EPR and ENDOR evidence for three sequential intermediates with cluster-bound carbon moieties. *Biochemistry* **2000**, *39*, 1114–1119.
(23) Lee, H. I.; Sorlie, M.; Christiansen, J.; Song, R.; Dean, D. R.; Hales, B. J.; Hoffman, B. M. Characterization of an intermediate in the reduction of acetylene by nitrogenase alpha-Gln195 MoFe protein by Q-band EPR and ^{13}C, ^{1}H ENDOR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 5582–5587.
(24) Benton, P. M. C.; Laryukhin, L.; Mayer, S. M.; Hoffman, B. M.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. Localization of a Substrate Binding Site on FeMo-cofactor: Trapping Propargyl Alcohol with an alpha-70-Substituted MoFe Protein. *Biochemistry* **2003**, *42,* 9102–9109.
(25) Lee, H. I.; Igarashi, R. Y.; Laryukhin, M.; Doan, P. E.; Dos Santos, P. C.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C.; Hoffman, B. M. An organometallic intermediate during alkyne reduction by nitrogenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 9563–9569.
(26) Dance, I. The Mechanism of Nitrogenase. Computed Details of the Site and Geometry of the Binding of Alkyne and Alkene Substrates and Intermediates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 11852–11863.
(27) Igarashi, R. Y.; Dos Santos, P. C.; Niehaus, W. G.; Dance, I. G.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. Localization of a catalytic intermediate bound to the FeMo-cofactor of nitrogenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 34770–34775.
(28) Han, J.; Newton, W. E. Differentiation of acetylene-reduction sites by stereoselective proton addition during *Azotobacter vinelandii* nitrogenase-catalyzed C_2D_2 reduction. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 2947–2956.
(29) Barney, B. M.; Igarashi, R. Y.; Dos Santos, P. C.; Dean, D. R.; Seefeldt, L. C. Interaction of nitrogenous substrates at an iron–sulfur face of the FeMo-cofactor during nitrogenase catalysis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, *279*, 53621–53624.
(30) Davis, L. C. Hydrazine as a substrate and inhibitor of *Azotobacter vinelandii* nitrogenase. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **1980**, *204*, 270–276.
(31) Thorneley, R. N. F.; Eady, R. R.; Lowe, D. J. Biological nitrogen fixation by way of an enzyme bound dinitrogen-hydride intermediate. *Nature* **1978**, *272*, 557–558.
(32) Dilworth, M. J.; Eady, R. R. Hydrazine is a product of dinitrogen reduction by the vanadium- nitrogenase from *Azotobacter chroococcum. Biochem. J.* **1991**, *277*, 465–468.

AR040050Z